

Minutes

| ATTENDANCE | |
|---|---|
| Participants: | <p>Committee member(s) who attended in Person: Carrie Green, Tim Cooper</p> <p>Committee member(s) who attended via Go-to-Meeting Dan Vizzini, Darlene Darnell, Ryan Lantz, Suzy Diaz; Melissa Hess</p> <p>Committee Member(s) absent: Caitlin Safford, Kat Latet, LoAnn Ayers, Miguel Messina, Rhonda Hauff, Steve Febus</p> <p>Guests: N/A</p> |
| GCACH: | Kylee Spence, Director of Finance & Contracts; Wes Luckey, Deputy Director; Sam Werdel, Director of Practice Transformation; Ruben Peralto, Community & Tribal Engagement Specialist; Diane Halo, Finance & Contracts Coordinator |
| MINUTES & REPORTS | |
| Welcome & Introductions: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Roll-call performed by Darlene Darnell, Meeting started at 10:35 am |
| ACTION ITEMS & UPDATES | |
| WAFE Portal Initial Distribution Results | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kylee went over what the Initial Distribution of funds results. Payments were made to those that have signed up in the portal. \$1000 for LOI, \$1000 sign up in WAFE Portal, \$1000 for a board member participation, and \$5000 for a facilitator on a project team. |
| Cut-off for LOIs | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussed the reasons why there should be a cut-off date for LOI. Question – If they haven’t submitted an LOI in 2018 will there be an opportunity to do one in the future? <i>Yes, there will be another opportunity in 2019.</i> Question – Is an LOI needed to register for the portal? <i>Not necessarily, they would need to contact GCACH directly. The tax information, EIN and email is really all that is needed to register. However, at this point most organizations have been given multiple opportunities to sign up. There are</i> |



| | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| | <p><i>other pots of money that that they can apply for coming up which will be discussed in the next agenda item.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Question- Is there the portal link to register on the website? <i>No, because the registration has to be done by the contract that filled out the LOI or it will be rejected.</i> • The Current State of Assessment went out to all the providers that provided an LOI. We are on a tight time frame on this, due by May 31, 2018. To have other submit an LOI now it would complicate the process. If there are any questions on the Current State of Assessment call Wes, Sam or Carol. Kylee will send out to the committee. | <p>Approved Motion to Finance Committee: To have the cut-off date be effective 5/8/18</p> |
| <p>Community Health Fund</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ruben presented information on the Community Health Fund Methodology • GCACH staff is developing the methodology to distribute \$997,600 Community Health Fund to address the Social Determinates of Health throughout the region in the most equitable way possible. • \$500,000 will be distributed to the LHINs based solely on the Medicaid Consumer Population. • \$197,600 will be distributed based on the number of measures each county performs worse then the state average using the RWJF County Health Rankings Worse Than State Average by county and then rolled up the each LHIN. • \$300,000 will be used by GCACH for a regional Campaign through out the region to address the Social Determinates of Health. • Question: Would the regional campaigns be something the GCACH would do directly or would they partner with other organizations across the region? <i>Most likely in partnership with other agencies across the region. Some of the MCO's are very collaborative and willing to partner up with the GCACH. Also, the LHINs will have a roll in this as well.</i> • Question: What would be next steps you would like input or action from this committee? <i>Approval for this process for allocating the funds that you think that it is equitable and the next step is to put together application process. We want to make sure everyone understands the process to distribute the funds.</i> | |



- Discussion on how the worse then average numbers are counted.
- Are they weighted by the Social Determinates? *No, that would have been a very difficult process.*
- Question – Why are the counties in the LHIN added not averaged together? *They are added together because some to the counties are so small. Taking into account the 3 counties that are so small it would help out the counties that have a small population of Medicaid, so it would provide some equity.*
- Question – Could you send us what it would look like with the numbers averaged? *Yes, Ruben will send out it with the numbers averaged to let the Committee decide by email as to which one they feel would be the best way to go with disturbing the funds. Ruben also send out the numbers if you only count the worse then average number once for each LHIN if they are in more than one county of the LHIN.*
- Question - What is the intent that these funds are for? *For the regions to address the social determinates of health across their area. This way a lot of non-Medicaid agency would be able to use these funds. The social determinates are important in address the health in their community.*
- Question – How will these funds be distributed and what is the application process for these funds? *There are 3 options. First one being the applications going into the GCACH. But this option would require GCACH to hire another person to be able to go through all the applications and also could be a conflict of interest for us to choose. Second option is funding going directly to the LHINs and applications going through the LHINs and them determining who the funds would go to. The third option is to having a 3rd party to do the funds allocation such as a Foundation. The LHINs to decide what Foundation they want to have manage the funds application process.*
- Question – Wouldn't that be an additional cost? *Yes, it would be an additional cost on all options.*
- Question – How did this fund come about? How did we convert these dollars into this specific amount? *HCA has changes the name of this many times. It was first called Social Determinates of Health is now the Community Health*



| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p><i>Fund. Kylee showed an older budget in March which shows how it is distributed. 4% is to be used to address the Social Determinates of Health \$997,600. 4% is a contingency/reserve fund which would cover any unanticipated funds that will be rolled up into the engagement funds. These contingencies funds are for the all of the engagement work. It is not just tied directly to the Community of Health fund.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Question – What direction do we go for the process of having this fund distributed? What’s the process should we go with? 1st option – GCACH would have to hire another staff to go through all the applications. 2nd option the LHINs going through the applications and deciding who to give the funds to. 3rd option is to hire a Foundation with in each LHIN to administer the funds through their normal process for distributing funds. All options would have an administrative cost. The LHIN would have the opportunity to choose which foundation to go with.</i> • <i>Question – How about having the LHIN provide information about how they will proceed in developing a process for excepting applications and for distributing the funds? I think that doing this will see if they LHINs might want to do this or not.</i> • <i>Comment – As far as using a foundation it would be a good way to go and since we are talking about the social determinates of health it could bridge the gap between the medical community and some of the organizations that address those social determinates of health. It would be very educational and we could learn more about each other, which can draw us closer together.</i> • <i>Question – How about having an RFQ sent out? This would be good. Then we would have the opportunity to see what organizations are interested in helping administer the funds and what their qualifications would be.</i> • <i>Dan Vizzini brought up a 4th option. Have each LHIN prepare a plan and submit it the GCACH for review and approval. The LHIN would be responsible with building a collaborative set of goals and strategies to be funded. In developing this plan, it requires the LHINs to engage with all the willing partners and demonstrates they are building a strong network.</i> | |
|--|---|--|



- Question - Who would the reviewer of the plan? *It would require some additional work on the GCACH. Right now the GCACH main focus is on Practice Transformation. This is one reason why we talked about allocating this out to a foundation. This is the most efficient option for the GCACH. We understand there are some things that still need to be worked out about how the funds will go from the foundation of the LHINs choosing, to the local community.*
- Dan brought up that the 4th option again and said GCACH could recruit an independent reviewer to review the proposals that the LHINs provide and make recommendations to GCACH. This does place the focus and attention onto the LHINs to bring their partners together to develop a vital network for their community for sustainability.
- Comment - GCACH responsibility is to provide the key factors as what the LHINs need to put together to submit in their proposal and would have to include a non-biased way to have the them reviewed. To ensure the quality of process. LHINs are required to engage all willing partners.
- Comment – When there is already processes and organizations that do this it seems better to use those processes that are already set up. Especially when you are looking at not a lot of funding. The foundations that are out in the communities already have these processes set up.
- Ruben summary – We need a 3rd party to channel the funds through and want to have the LHINs to have say in who the 3rd party will be. Also, we want the LHINs to the ground work because they know the needs of their communities and what areas to target. Ruben will send this out by email as soon as possible.
- Ruben will also send out the numbers addressed earlier.
- Dan will provide and outline for this to the committee by email.
- Committee to respond by Friday.



Greater Columbia

**Accountable
Community of
Health**

Budget and Funds Flow Committee

Tuesday, May 8, 2018

10:30am to 12:05pm

Regular meeting

GCACH / Go-to-Meeting

ADJOURNMENT

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| ADJOURNMENT | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Committee meeting adjourned at 12:05 pm. | |
| Next Meeting & Goals | <p>Thank you for your time and engagement with the Greater Columbia Accountable Community of Health!</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• A doodle poll has been sent to decide when the next regularly scheduled Budget and Funds Flow Committee Meeting will be held. We will inform you as soon as we know. | |