



GCACH Practice Transformation Workgroup Meeting Minutes

May 10, 2018 | 10:00 AM – 12:00 PM | Community Action Connections, Board Room

Participants	Everett Maroon, Ed Thornbrugh, Dan Ferguson, Sierra Barrett, Richard Leigh, Barbara Mead, Becky Grohs, Carol Moser, Wes Luckey, Kylee Spence, Diane Halo, Ruben Peralta, Lauren Johnson
** (by phone)	**Rhonda Hauff, Lily Gonzalez, Mike Maples, Alicia Bissonnette, Mark Wakai, Kevin Martin, Bill Dunwoody, Ryan Lantz, Kat Latet, Jorge Rivera, Brian Sandoval, Patrick Jones, Leslie Robison
Welcome & Introductions	<p>Carol welcomed everyone and thanked them for attending the meeting for the Practice Transformation Workgroup. She briefly reviewed the agenda and the 4-26 Meeting Minutes.</p> <p>Carol proposed a motion to approve the minutes. Motion to approve was moved by Dan Ferguson, seconded by Everett Maroon. Motion passed.</p>
Review Selection Criteria Results	Carol introduced the Selection Criteria Results to the Practice Transformation Workgroup. There were several group members that were surprised by the results, specifically the ranking of the “volume” criteria—several respondents ranked volume in the middle, receiving a 3 or 4, while others assigned the criteria a 7. Commonalities gathered from the survey results ranked leadership and collaboration as those that should be most heavily weighted. Questions regarding how to assess and leverage leadership, what does collaboration look like, how to keep sight of the end goal, how to factor in volume and equity as a multiplier were raised. It was also noted that there was no big separation of the change concepts, and that they were relatively level. The results will be given to OHSU who will score and analyze the results of the CSA.
Review Current State Assessment	Carol and Wes reviewed the Current State Assessment (CSA) with attendees. While the entire CSA was not reviewed in its’ entirety, questions and concerns were welcomed. Several group members expressed their concerns on the length of the survey, due to the necessary time it is going to take to complete. Others found parts of the survey to be repetitive to other assessments that have been completed for other organizations. Carol pointed out that the questions from the selection criteria were imbedded in the CSA, and in large part, were word for word from the document that the PTW review on April 26 th . Carol and Wes noted the concerns and stated their gratitude for participation in the survey, noting that the CSA is a requirement of the HCA, and the questions would be used to answer the mid-year report due in July. Wes stated that we are now getting to a level where collaboration is happening across all ACH’s.
Pay for Reporting Metrics Presentation	Wes began his presentation by reviewing the “Christmas Tree” diagram followed by a review of the Medicaid Transformation Project—Pay for Reporting (P4R) Measures. He then went into an overview of our four main project areas and our provider response window for 2019, 2020 and 2021. The presentation was concluded with the Project Payment Accountability by year, showing the increase in pay for performance (P4P) metrics versus a decrease in pay for reporting (P4R) metrics over the next four years.
2018 GCACH Work Plan	Carol reviewed the 2018 GCACH Work Plan with attendees. This document gives a visual outline of all GCACH’s projects over the course of the 2018 calendar year.
Adjournment	Carol ended the meeting by thanking all for attending. She noted that the next Practice Transformation Workgroup Meeting is set for May 31st.